

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,852	03/04/2004	Masayuki Kita	2018-856	5317
23117 759	90 04/26/2006		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			EDWARDS, LOREN C	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		OR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
memoron,	VII 22200		3748	
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,852	KITA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Loren C. Edwards	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,13 and 14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal S 6) Other:		
o, r man and trademan only			

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

1. An Applicant's Amendment filed on 3/3/06 has been entered. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, and 11 have been amended. Overall, claims 1-14 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang in view of Abe (U.S. Pat. No. 5,247,910). Zhang discloses the device of claim 11 but does not disclose an air-fuel ratio arithmetic unit for calculating the air-fuel ratio from a minimum value of output values detected by the air-fuel ratio detection unit. Abe teaches an air-fuel ratio calculation means that uses the minimum value from the systems air-fuel detection unit (Col. 1, lines 56-59). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3748

invention was made to utilize the air-fuel calculation unit of Abe in the secondary air supply control apparatus for the advantage of standardized control of the air-fuel ratio.

5. Claim 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Mitsutani (U.S. Pat. No. 5,887,421). The modified Zhang discloses the device of clam 11 above but fails to specifically disclose that the system calculates the air-fuel ratio during supply of secondary air at a specified crank angle. Mitsutani teaches a device which calculates the air-fuel ratio at every specified crank angle (Fig. 11; Col. 9, lines 28-93; Col 9, lines 59-60). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the method of Nanba in the Zhang device for the advantage of syncing the air-fuel ratio with the engine speed assuring that the air-fuel ratio was correct at all times.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1 and 7
 (Remarks/Arguments, 3/3/06, Page 11-13 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1 and 7 has been withdrawn.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with regards to claims 11 and 12 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant specifically argues that the combination of Zhang (U.S. Pat. No. 6,155,049) and Abe (U.S. Pat. No. 5,247,910) fails to teach or suggest "an air-fuel ratio arithmetic unit for calculating, as the air-fuel ratio, a minimum value of air-fuel ratio values detected and output by the air-fuel ratio detection unit during supply of the secondary air

Art Unit: 3748

provided by the secondary air supply mechanism". The examiner has depended upon Abe to teach an apparatus that calculates an accurate air-fuel ratio in an exhaust by calculating a minimum value of air-fuel ratio values detected and output by the air-fuel ratio detection unit. The electrode plug (Fig. 3, No. 18) of Abe is an air-fuel ratio detection unit that outputs air-fuel ratio values. Abe teaches that a problem with oxygen sensors (used for detecting the air-fuel ratio) in exhaust streams is that if exposed to prolonged amounts of rich gas, they stop accurately reflecting the true oxygen content of the exhaust (Col. 1, Lines 5-38). He corrects this by detecting the flame resistance in a combustion chamber of the engine and calculating a minimum value of this resistance, which he then uses to calculate an air-fuel ratio (Col. 1, Lines 47-66). Therefore the sensed parameter (flame resistance) is indicative of the air-fuel ratio and must be an air-fuel ratio value. Abe teaches to calculate a minimum value of air-fuel ratio values detected and output by an air-fuel ratio detection unit (Col. 1, Lines 47-66).

- 8. The applicant then argues that Abe fails to appreciate that an air-fuel ratio is changed by a supply of secondary air, and therefor there is no suggestion or motivation to combine. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the air-fuel ratio correction as taught by Abe in the system of Zhang for the advantage of accurately detecting the air-fuel ratio in the exhaust stream even after prolonged exposure to rich conditions (Col. 1, Lines 5-46).
- 9. With regards to Claim 12, the applicant has argued that there is no motivation to combine the teachings of Zhang and Mitsutani (U.S. Pat. No.

Art Unit: 3748

5,887,421). The examiner has relied on Mitsutani to teach a device for calculating the air-fuel ratio at a specified crank angle. Mitsutani teaches that a problem with accurately an operating condition of a catalytic converter is a fluctuation of air-fuel ratio of the exhaust gas (Col. 5, Lines 9-14). To fix this problem Mitsutani discloses an air-fuel feedback routine (Fig. 11) and executes this every fixed crank angle (Col. 9, Lines 28-41). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the controlled frequency (of the air-fuel ratio calculation) of Mitsutani in the system of Zhang for the advantage of accurately detecting the operating condition of the catalyst (Col. 5, Lines 19-26).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-10, and 13-14 are allowed.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Araki et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,385,639); Ohsuga et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,357,749); Aoki et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,388,402); Katashiba et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,675,968); Takeshima (U.S. Pat. No. 5,448,887); Komatsuda et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,493,857); Cockerill (U.S. Pat. No. 5,822,976); Yasui et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,477,458); and Hirooka (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0060282 A1).
- 12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/791,852

Art Unit: 3748

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Loren C. Edwards whose telephone number is (571) 272-2756. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700